

**Office of the Prime Minister of Canada**

C/O Prime Minister Justin P. J. Trudeau  
80 Wellington Street  
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

**Subject :** Questions to the Canadian Government Regarding the SARS-CoV-2 Crisis

Mr. Prime Minister,

I am writing to you regarding the actual crisis we have been experiencing in Canada for over a year now. I am doing so for my family, for those who don't have the energy to write, and for those who lost their life to the SARS-CoV-2 or to its proposed experimental injection.

Like many of my fellow-Canadians during this past year, I have been following the developments and researched about the origin, causes and effects of this crisis. This dubious threat, since it was declared as a worldwide pandemic, based on [questionable](#)<sup>1</sup> data, seems to have been dealt with, by imposing improvised emergency confinements and [unconstitutional](#)<sup>2</sup> rules. These infringements of many international treaties and laws, were declared necessary right from the start, but were they even legal? The *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*' article 2 (see Appendix [#1](#)) clearly stipulates:

**["Article 2](#)**

*Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.*

*Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty."*

At the beginning of this crisis, I came across something very odd regarding the origin of the SARS-CoV-2. I found out this virus had a patent (*ref. [Patent US7.220,852 B1](#)*<sup>3</sup>). Last year, a virologist actually [confirmed](#)<sup>4</sup> it, when he discovered a [manmade sequence](#)<sup>5</sup> in the virus cell, but it appears like his findings were ignored by the [mainstream media](#)<sup>6</sup> (MSM). If it is the case that the actual SARS-CoV-2 is [manmade](#)<sup>7</sup>, since it is forbidden to patent natural occurring organisms, then shouldn't this virus be designated as a [bioweapon](#)<sup>8</sup> instead?

It was stated in news [articles](#)<sup>9</sup> written in 2015, that the [Wuhan lab](#)<sup>10</sup> where [originated](#)<sup>11</sup> the SARS-CoV-2, was [financed](#)<sup>12</sup> to [research](#)<sup>13</sup> on [gain-of-function](#)<sup>14</sup>, which aimed at making viruses more transmissible from bats cells to humans. If SARS-CoV-2 is indeed a bioweapon [developed](#)<sup>15</sup> in this lab, will your government make sure the people and/or organizations responsible for developing such insane bioweapon be brought to justice? Because it is criminal, according to the *Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide*? (see Appendix [#2](#))

**["Article I](#)**

*The Contracting Parties confirm that genocide, whether committed in time of peace or in time of war, is a crime under international law which they undertake to prevent and to punish.*

**[Article II](#)**

*In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:*

- (a) Killing members of the group;*
- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;*
- (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; (...)"*

In Canada, we know the elderly in nursing homes accounted for the biggest part of the SARS-CoV-2 death toll. Why may that be? For over a decade, people working in those homes have been decrying the poor health and [hygiene care](#)<sup>16</sup> provided to their residents. For example, it was said that many people in those homes were provided with only one bath per week, that is a shame and [negligence](#)<sup>17</sup> in itself. I wonder if this fact alone, was in part responsible for the high number of premature deaths in the past year? Since it is a known fact that hygiene plays a great role in preventing diseases, which is one of the reasons, your government asked the population to wash their hands often.

There is also the food these elderly people are fed with. It has been exposed as being of poor quality which also can have played a role in their lack of immunity and resistance in fighting this disease. The proof is that people of the same age group, with a good health, were able to combat the SARS-CoV-2. When will your government address the root of this problem for the nursing homes across Canada? Will your government come up with legislature to protect our most vulnerable people and the elderly by providing proper hygiene and real healthy food for the vulnerable people it is responsible for? According to the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* article 25 (see Appendix #1), these people have the right to be protected:

**["Article 25](#)**

*1. Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control."*

Concerning the food quality all Canadians have access to, medical [studies](#)<sup>18</sup> have shown that genetically modified organisms (GMO) have a negative effect on food quality, allergies and health in general. The use of [pesticides](#)<sup>19</sup>, is also known to affect people's health and the environment, with their cancer-causing properties. These products should be entirely banned in order to prevent further deterioration of public health, in these uncertain times, when health is the most valuable asset.

Right now, responsible food producers who avoid the addition of chemicals and GMOs to their production, have to pay to certify that their products are completely natural, a no-brainer. Meanwhile those who use GMO seeds along with cancer-causing chemicals, do not have to identify those alterations, nor do they require certification to indicate their products are entirely safe for consumption. Will your government have the courage to make a full 180 degrees U-turn in this matter, and ban these health and environment altering products from the Canadian food chain and nature? Don't you think, Mr. Trudeau, that your government would be seen as visionary if it were to reverse this situation by making it mandatory for producers to fully label their products containing GMOs and cancer-inducing chemicals? Providing the health effects and illnesses these chemicals may cause, like it is done for all tobacco products. The burden of labelling would be shifted between irresponsible and responsible food producers. The imposition of certification on the corporations who alter the food chain, and subsidies for the corporations who respect the natural food chain would make the price of organic food accessible to all Canadians, including the nursing homes' residents under your responsibility, saving taxpayers money on food and healthcare, a win-win. A way to improve the health of Canadians, and an incentive to collective health across the country.

The same principle should apply for our water. Canada has the biggest freshwater reserve in the world. Canadians have the duty to protect it accordingly with [The Water Act](#)<sup>20</sup>. The toxic fluoride and chemicals added to our water

were exposed<sup>21</sup> as a neurotoxin<sup>22</sup> by scientists<sup>23</sup>. Shouldn't these fluoridation programs be terminated since they do affect<sup>24</sup> people's health and children's brains? The *Universal Declaration of Human Rights'* article 27 (see Appendix #1) mentions that we have the right to benefit from scientific advancements, including medical studies:

**"Article 27**

*1. Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits. (...)"*

Many Aboriginal people living in reservations still don't have access to clean water, in these times we are experiencing, these people are doubly affected, having to combat a disease while lacking proper water systems and filtration. This problem has been known for years<sup>25</sup>. Now this negligence is blatant and it is urgent for your government to resolve this matter. Does your government have this issue in its priority list, as part of the solution to avoid further spread of the disease in these communities? Because according to the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights'* article 7 (see Appendix #1), the people in the reservations, should be treated the same way as those living outside of them, who all have access to clean water:

**"Article 7**

*All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination."*

Recently, we have seen a church raided<sup>26</sup> by peace officers for holding celebrations during Easter weekend, the most Holy day for Christians. Since then, the peace officers, whose role is to serve and protect the people, erected a fence around<sup>27</sup> that church, to prevent religious ceremonies from being held inside. Didn't this act breach the peace officers' own oath Mr. Trudeau? Because their oath<sup>28</sup> mentions that their role is to defend the *Constitution of Canada*, which sits on the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, and at the moment, the rules they are enforcing are not signed into laws. Human Rights are entrenched in the Canadian way of life, and the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights'* article 18 (see Appendix #1) specifies the right to assemble, to practice religion or belief:

**"Article 18**

*Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance."*

What is your government going to do about peace officers being used to enforce these unconstitutional rules instead of protecting people's inherent rights? Who ordered the deployment of such massive fence operation against this church? How much did this fence operation cost taxpayers to monopolize two hundred peace officers with helicopters?

For over a year now Mr. Trudeau, your government has asked Canadians to wear masks<sup>29</sup>, regardless of their effectiveness in protecting people from the SARS-CoV-2, everybody must wear them, sick or healthy. It has been affecting people's health, both physically and mentally. Your government has persisted with masking the population, even though many studies have demonstrated their inefficacy in preventing the transmission of diseases, it is even written on their manufacturers' packaging<sup>30</sup>. Just recently, some masks have been found to contain toxic materials, and were distributed to children in schools. Studies<sup>31</sup> have also show that wearing masks can accelerate the development of cancer<sup>32</sup> and pulmonary diseases, depleting<sup>33</sup> people's necessary oxygen by 20%, while oxygen is a key player in reinforcing the immune system, to defend the body against viruses and sustain

life itself. In the United States, the States which removed these arbitrary practices of distancing and masking saw the number of cases dropped by 36%, within two weeks. Obliging people to wear face masks can amount to torture and a degrading treatment, which is prohibited by the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights'* article 5 (see Appendix #1)

#### **“Article 5**

*No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.”*

The *PCR Test*, this tool widely used across the world, is supposed to [detect](#)<sup>34</sup> people with the SARS-CoV-2. It appears like something [very nefarious](#)<sup>35</sup> is going on here, since its inventor, the late Nobel laureate, Kary B. Mullis, clearly [stated](#)<sup>36</sup> that his test was not a diagnostic tool but rather a tool for research purposes. He also mentioned that in order to be accurate, the cycle threshold had to be set at a maximum of twenty-five, otherwise it rendered this test completely [unreliable](#)<sup>37</sup>, resulting in [false positives](#)<sup>38</sup>. From the beginning of the crisis, the cycle threshold was said to be as high as forty, which provided inaccurate numbers which were used to lockdown the country. Here, Mr. Trudeau, I wonder why your government persisted in preventing Canadians from seeing their loved ones during the Christmas Holiday season, since the *World Health Organization* (WHO) released a [guidance memo](#)<sup>39</sup> on December 14<sup>th</sup> 2020, warning governments to lower the cycle threshold accordingly with the instruction manual? Your government only reduced the cycle threshold in January 2021, which had an automatic incidence in lowering the number of positive cases, and eliminating the false positives.

Meanwhile, in the province of Nova Scotia, the provincial government opted for a [rapid test](#)<sup>40</sup> which detected the positive cases on-the-spot. Wouldn't it have been more efficient, Mr. Trudeau, to mandate this rapid testing method across the country, instead of putting schools and families in quarantine for fourteen days, shutting down businesses and wrecking the economy just in case someone may or may not test positive? The same goes for travellers coming back from other countries, who are being locked-down for two weeks, in expensive “*quarantine hotels*”, against their will and at [their own expense](#)<sup>41</sup>, when rapid testing can save time, money and let the Peace Officers do their real job of upholding their oath to protect the Canadians' Constitution from abuses of power.

Knowing these facts makes it clear that some of the people who have imposed the use of this costly [testing method](#)<sup>42</sup> failed Canadians and their economy right from the start. Why did the medical professionals, along with your government's representatives, persisted in using the wrong testing method? Will your government prosecute those responsible for this huge mess, for their misjudgement and failure at their task?

These *PCR Tests'* inaccuracies caused a lot of trauma, unnecessary stress and enormous money losses, while forcing Canadians into confinement across the country, which is [illegal](#)<sup>43</sup> to impose, according to the Article 7 of the *Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court* (see Appendix #5)

#### **“Crimes against humanity**

1. *For the purpose of this Statute, "crime against humanity" means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack: (...)*

(e) *Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law; (...)*

*For the purpose of paragraph 1:*

(a) *"Attack directed against any civilian population" means a course of conduct involving the multiple commission of acts referred to in paragraph 1 against any civilian population, pursuant to or in furtherance of a State or organizational policy to commit such attack; (...)"*

Preventing Canadians from going to the gym and [locking them down](#)<sup>44</sup> led many of them to gain weight across the nation and now, many studies are being published which clearly demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 is worse for overweight people. The policies implemented by your government, Mr. Trudeau, don't seem to take into account their repercussions onto Canadians' physical wellbeing, neither are they in accordance with the government's own [guidelines](#)<sup>45</sup> regarding the recommended amount of exercise, a person needs per day, to maintain a good health. Will your government revise its position in this matter?

I have heard that some residences' building managers went as far as not allowing their elder residents, to come out of their apartments, for a period of three whole months in a row. Even now, these elderly people are not allowed to host visitors inside their own apartments, preventing them from gathering with their families and friends, depriving them from enjoying the years they have left to live. How is your government going to investigate these abuses toward the elderly people in their own home? I have read that coercion/torture is forbidden under *International Law*.

To really understand what coercion implies, we can refer to the *Biderman's Chart of Coercion* from the *Amnesty International's 1973 Annual Report on Torture* (see Appendix #6). It clearly describes what coercion methods are, and their effects on the victims, both [physically](#)<sup>46</sup> and mentally. What governments and the mainstream media (*the torturers*) have been doing to the population (*the victims*), seems to fit in the parameters described in this chart. For example:

- (1) It identifies *isolation, either complete, semi or in group*, as a method by the torturer *to deprive the victim of all social support and ability to resist*. This method develops *an intense concern with self*, people panicked and bought toilet paper, and other survival items they thought they could need while confined in their home, for an indefinite period of time, it was just supposed to be two or three weeks long... The Ontario government's guidelines, went as far as demanding to place a child in solitary confinement for fourteen days, if this child was exposed to a case in school, while knowing that children were almost exempt from catching the SARS-CoV-2, it is despicable to impose this treatment onto families.
- (2) The media took care of *monopolizing the perception* using fearmongering with constant updates on the numbers of positive cases, and deaths, locally and worldwide. This method is said *to fix attention on predicament, it eliminates stimuli competing with the propaganda messages, repelling all contradictory information, ridiculing and censoring anyone with a different view*. Many scientists experienced this big time, some lost their practice licenses and/or job for contradicting the government's official propaganda about this manmade flu. People who researched and exposed this scheme, while being locked down for a year, are ridiculed and bullied by the newsmakers, who by the way, are not honoring their own profession, just content in parroting the governments' propaganda, without discernment, nor questions over the very questionable, seemingly biased, official governments' narrative and scientists agreeing with it, to reinforce the message.
- (3) The other method is *to induce debility and exhaustion which weakens the mental and physical ability to resist by exploiting the wounds*, like to continually wear the toxic ill-inducing masks, to be locked-down, to wait a long time in lines just to go to in the grocery store, augmenting stress for some, ruining sleep-quality, all these factors create an *overexertion of the population*, which has for effect to keep everyone in-line with the official message, it reinforces the false-perception of necessity in the prevention of the spread of this flu.
- (4) There is also the *threats by the government and the MSM*. They are said *to cultivate anxiety and despair with threats of possible death, threats of never-ending confinements without Canadians' compliance to the arbitrary rules imposed upon them*, even going as far as calling this abomination the "*new normal*", even though it is all in violation of basic *Human Rights*, as if it were necessary with a flu-like disease to wreck the country's economy. Families and friends are prevented from meeting because they can kill each other if there

is an asymptomatic person in the group, it separates families, and leaves many vulnerable and elderly people alone to care for themselves, and shamefully prevents them from all human interaction.

- (5) The other method of coercion involves *occasional indulgences by the government* which *provides positive motivation for compliance, hinders adjustments to deprivation*, people think it is not too bad after all, it is *tantalising to the deprived population*, they see an end coming. Here the government is telling the population everything will go “back to normal” once people get the experimental injection, or when the “numbers go down”, some stores and services will be able to reopen their doors, people will be able to travel again. The governments even use color codes to designate the “safe zones”, here we can read “the compliant zones”, it *reinforces the sentiment of good behavior brings favors*, if the population complies with the demands, it will be possible to gather with more people, as if it were legal and acceptable to control the population this way.
- (6) Coercion methods also include a *demonstration of omnipotence by the government, which suggests that it is futile to resist the rules*, these exact words are used on the MSM. There is even a member of your government who was caught on camera saying that “if you say it louder and repeat it over, and over, people believe you”. If that is not a criminal mind, I do not know what is. To me, this attitude exposes your government’s determination to *have complete control over Canadians’ lives*, and this is not a compliment.
- (7) The *degradation* method is also used, *it makes the cost of resistance appear more damaging than capitulation, it reduces the people to animal-level concern, by preventing personal hygiene*. As an example, the closing of hair salons and gyms, *demeaning punishments* by preventing people from attending funerals, *insulting and shaming people* who “do not comply” by calling them names like “Covidiot” by both the MSM and fellow-citizens, in public or on social-media platforms, *denying people’s inherent privacy* with your government’s COVID-tracing app or by publicly testing temperature at some businesses’ entrance, as if only the SARS-CoV-2 could spike someone’s body temperature, just this public intrusion on privacy can create a sudden temperature spike in itself and now, governments and businesses want to introduce a “Health-Passport” without any respect towards Canadians’ inherent right to confidentiality for their medical records, *shaming* those who refuse to take part in this massive medical experiment. It is quite insidious, to promote this absurdity as acceptable, it is repugnant and incompatible with Canadians’ dignity and rights.
- (8) And the final method in *Biderman’s Chart* is to *enforce trivial demands to develop a habit of compliance*, like standing six feet apart, follow the arrows on the floor (*these signs are apparently very lucrative, follow the money*), go in or out using specific doors, cheer the “frontline workers” for doing their job in order to show support, or be cast out of society in general.

This, Mr. Trudeau, is a demonstration of the disingenuous coercion/torture methods used against Canadians since March 2020. Now that you are aware of these [deceitful methods](#)<sup>47</sup>, how will your government address these acts committed by those who hold positions of authority and are seemingly criminally coercing the population? Because, according to the *Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court* (see Appendix #5), these are all punishable offences:

#### **“Article 7**

##### *Crimes against humanity*

1. For the purpose of this Statute, “crime against humanity” means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack: (...)

(e) Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law;

(f) Torture; (...)



(k) *Other inhumane acts of a similar character intentionally causing great suffering, or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health.*

2. *For the purpose of paragraph 1:*

(...)

(e) *"Torture" means the intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, upon a person in the custody or under the control of the accused; except that torture shall not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to, lawful sanctions; (...)"*

With the proposed "[Health-Passport](#)<sup>48</sup>", it is easy to understand the underlying use by the governments of the world to completely control every individual's movement, a goal mentioned in the [Agenda 21](#)<sup>49</sup> and [Agenda 2030](#)<sup>50</sup> which no citizen was consulted prior to their introduction by those who imagine being part of an imaginary elite of society, because they found ways to evade taxes. We also hear that people who refuse the experimental injection could be prevented from traveling, from working, from gatherings, from entering entertainment venues, from freely participating in society. Businesses are acting as if they were in charge of people's Rights, blatantly infringing *International Laws* without blinking, nor thinking about the legitimacy of their actions, as if it were legal to violate *Human Rights*. I am asking you, Mr. Trudeau, how will your government prevent businesses and corporations from imposing internal rules that infringe Canadians' inherent *Human Rights*? This proposed "[Health-Passport](#)" is illegal in itself, and violates not just one, but two articles, [5](#) and [12](#), of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* (see Appendix [#1](#)) therefore, its introduction would violate of these rights and could be prosecuted as such by the *International Criminal Court* (see Appendix [#5](#), section 5-b):

**["Article 5](#)**

*No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment."*

**["Article 12](#)**

*No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks."*

The same goes for the youth's right to an adequate education, since it is compulsory for them to attend school, according to the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. As I am writing, the [government of Ontario](#)<sup>51</sup> randomly cut one billion dollars in the education system, which is completely irresponsible, and parents have no say in the matter, they must accept this underfunding, which will lower the education standards and services for their children. This will have a direct impact on the education outcomes, and leave a long-term scar, according to professionals. These bad decision-makers also affect children's mental health, social life, and deny the importance of their aspirations, dreams and goals. Right now, children have the choice to access in-person schooling, which implies the conditions to wear a toxic mask on their face all daylong, and to obey social-distancing rules. Or they can follow online classes, which were improvised, and demand more concentration while deteriorating their education, and interest, because of the lack of real human interaction, with the teacher and the other students. Studies have shown the importance of socialization in the development of a person, it is what forges the character from an early age, and helps in developing the abilities every individual possesses. Right now, the suicide rate amongst the youth is skyrocketing, it is an abomination to let even one child being so desperate about the actual situation and future, that suicide becomes a solution, at this point, it amounts to a crime against humanity. The decisions taken by the provincial governments also deprive the teachers of the adequate work environment, required for them to be fully efficient in their task of developing the youth, for the future of our society. It is deplorable what is being done to the education system by these technocrats, they seem only interested in

controlling society with illegal rules. Education is so important for the wellbeing of society, that an entire article of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* (see Appendix #1) is dedicated to it:

**“Article 26**

*1. Everyone has the right to education. Education shall be free, at least in the elementary and fundamental stages. Elementary education shall be compulsory. Technical and professional education shall be made generally available and higher education shall be equally accessible to all on the basis of merit.*

*2. Education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations, racial or religious groups, and shall further the activities of the United Nations for the maintenance of peace.*

*3. Parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children.”*

Mr. Trudeau, respectfully, how does your government envision elevating the standards in the current dysfunctional education system? Don't you want your own children to have a great education, to fully develop their abilities and be happy in a near future while socializing with other kids? Is your spouse coping well with the actual educational system offered to them, with social distancing and masking, or with their online education, whichever option may apply in your household? As a parent, I find it quite concerning for the long-term effects on our society's continuation.

As for the experimental injection promoted by your government, Mr. Trudeau, which criteria were used to impose this widespread experiment when the 0.2% fatality rate was actually decreasing? Because we now have learned that the 2020 deathrate was very similar to the flu deathrate of the prior years. I wonder if a 99.8% survival rate is a sufficient and admissible reason to subject the Canadian population to this medical experiment? It does look suspicious to mandate such risky endeavour on a large scale, when there is no appearance of a state of emergency other than the media's propaganda machine in full swing 24/7.

I am wondering what is the real purpose for your government to mislead the citizens by referring to this medical experimental injection as being a vaccine? At the moment, everyone at the government levels is acting as if this medical experiment was a well-researched treatment, in place for years and made by honest pharmaceutical corporations. The three proposed manufacturers do not describe their products accordingly with the actual definition of a vaccine, the [Collins Dictionary](#)<sup>52</sup> defines it as follow:

*“Vaccine:*

*A suspension of dead, attenuated, or otherwise modified microorganisms (viruses, bacteria, or rickettsiae) for inoculation to produce immunity to a disease by stimulating the production of antibodies,”*

Who in your government participated in the designation of the present medical experiment, as a vaccine, when in fact, it does not fit the description of traditional vaccines? Two companies define their experimental injection as mRNA which alters the DNA, and strangely gene engineering is not mentioned in the definition above. The third manufacturer, which never produced vaccines before, defines its injection as an [operating system](#)<sup>53</sup>. It is a retuning and rewiring of the natural DNA structure which transforms the human body into a human interface that can be monitored remotely with no possibility of turning back. In other words, the injection will allow a central computer to know you are sick before you even realize it, what will they also be able to do remotely?



I find this quite worrying, to let the population being enticed in such medical experiments without prior thorough testing, as it is to be expected. A short research can demonstrate that some of these manufacturers have lied about the reliability of their products in the past, and it cost them a lot of money in [Courts](#)<sup>54</sup> for falsifying their actual data. What are the steps your government took in order to prevent them from repeating the same mistakes with the present experimental injection? How did your government decide that it was right to fully trust them with our health this time around?

The manufacturers describe their injections as experimental which allows them to avoid all liability in the present process. If we compare this practice with the other industries, the pharmaceutical industry, is the only one allowed dodging accountability. Usually, corporations are fully made accountable for the integrity and quality of the products they are manufacturing, but here, for an experimental injection of chemical products, which alter the body's DNA structure, none can be made liable for their experimentation worldwide. Will it be up to Canadian taxpayers to pick up the tab, if short or long-term effects, or even death occur Mr. Trudeau? According to *The Nuremberg Code* (see Appendix [#4](#)), it is criminal to conduct medical experimentations onto populations. Let's examine what this code implies:

*"1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.*

*This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person, which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment."*

After reading this part, I would like to know how did governments make sure that the people in nursing homes all had the legal capacity to give their consent? Did these vulnerable people fully exercise free power of choice without any exterior interference? Did they have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the new type of mRNA injections, enabling them to consent with an enlightened decision process? Because when I asked the people around me about this injection, not all seem to fully comprehend the implications of such gene alteration process. Were the people fully informed about the effects, inconveniences and hazards to be reasonably expected, which one of them being death? Were the people informed that they might have endless reinjections after the first dose? We now hear that it might have to be done a third time, or even every year. Did the people have access to the list of ingredients contained in the injection they were subjected to? Where did your government's health department post the injections' list of ingredients? I can't seem to find it on the governments' websites. Also, where is it written in your government's documentation, that if the people unfortunately die from the experimental injection, the money from their life insurance will be lost because of the experimental stage of the injection?

*"The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity."*

Did the medical personnel make sure that the consent was a fully informed one, prior to injecting each person? Are the consents oral or signed? Does your government keep a record of these consents?

*“2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.”*

For this part, does this experiment really yield fruitful results? There are many adverse effects that have been occurring around the world already. I wonder how can your government affirm it is the case, if this brand-new experiment has never been conducted before?

*“3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study, that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.”*

Did the manufacturers of these experimental injections lack access to animals for their study? Because I have read that no animal experimentation had been done prior to injecting humans with their experimental injections. I am also wondering Mr. Trudeau, how were the people able to get fully informed prior, since this experiment is not based on the results of animal experimentation? Which means, the knowledge of the natural history of the disease and other problem under study, were still unknown since it is said that it is a novel coronavirus, therefore, it is hard to anticipate the results that do justify the performance of this largescale experiment. Do you think it makes sense Mr. Trudeau, to let Canadians be part of this experiment without fully be able to anticipate its results supposed to justify the experiment?

*“4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.”*

We are seeing healthy people getting the injection, and still being infected with the SARS-CoV2. We have heard of people suffering severe side-effects, some temporary, others seemingly permanent, and thousands have also died around the world following one or two injections. Is your government avoiding unnecessary suffering here?

*“5. No experiment should be conducted, where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.”*

What logic did your government follow, from a strategic point-of-view, when it came to accepting the injection of experimental drugs on a largescale across Canada? We have already seen the results in other countries, after receiving these experimental injections, some people started developing the SARS-CoV-2 variants, or [died<sup>55</sup>](#)? Because, as an example, when there is salmonella found in food, we witness a massive recall of the infected products, and it is announced all over the news. Why is it treated differently with these experimental injections in Canada? Why aren't all the adverse effects and death cases, all over the news like for the salmonella?

*“6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.”*

Do these experimental injections really solve the SARS-CoV-2 crisis or do they worsen it? Because, in some regions, the variants seem to appear not long after the beginning of mass vaccination campaigns. I even wonder if it is not the reason behind the actual increase of positive cases and variants in the Toronto area? Now it seems like the degree of risks taken with this medical experimentation, exceeds the 0.2% deathrate amongst the people infected with SARS-CoV-2. Statistics show, that each year, many vulnerable people unfortunately die from flu-like diseases, even though, most of them had a flu shot supposed to protect them from the flu. What is really different this time around Mr. Trudeau, to justify this medical experimentation with the Canadian population?

*“7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.”*

This clause mentions the need for adequate facilities which should be provided to protect the experimental subjects against even remote possibilities of injury. Why then, is it acceptable for the medical personnel to inject some of the elderly people in the corridors of their residence? I have heard of this practice being done in residences for the elderly, people put chairs at their door and the medical personnel would go door-to-door, in order to be convenient and inject the most people per day. Is an apartment building's corridor considered as a safe enough environment for this experimental medical procedure? Because it is mentioned that people have to be protected against even remote possibilities of injury. Who, or what department is responsible and supervise to avoid any adversity that could result from such practice?

*“8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.”*

Regarding this clause, before this countrywide medical experiment started, what are the steps your government took to fully qualify the medical personnel involved, in order to make sure each one had this highest degree of skill and care through all stages? Did the nurses and doctors involved get a certification of some sort, to make sure this required highest degree of skill and care was met?

*“9. During the course of the experiment, the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end, if he has reached the physical or mental state, where continuation of the experiment seemed to him to be impossible.”*

How did your government proceed with this clause of the present Code, when it is explained to the people receiving the experimental injections, that once injected with this experimental drug, it is irreversible, and that what has been done to their DNA, can not be reversed? Why do Canadians feel pressured to embark in this experiment if this Code specifies that the subjects should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end, it means that everybody has free choice to even want to start the process in the first place. When will your government stop using propaganda to convince Canadians to be experimented on against their will?

*“10. During the course of the experiment, the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgement required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.”*

At the moment, it seems like there is no limit to the acceptable number of [adverse effects](#)<sup>56</sup>, whether they are light, severe or worse, death follows. How many injuries or deaths will it take Mr. Trudeau, before your government reconsiders the real benefits of this high-risk experimental injection versus the value of Canadian lives being affected through this process? Because after a year into this crisis, we do know, that the SARS-CoV-2 has a survival rate of 99.8%. It is also said about this experimental injection, disrupts women's menstrual cycle, that it can render men and women [infertile](#)<sup>57</sup>, and cause miscarriages, this is also a criminal offence, according to the *Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide*? (see Appendix #2)

#### **“Article II**

*In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:*

*(...)*

*(d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group; (...)*”

Everyone involved in this largescale medical experiment is also liable for participating in the experiment, from the government to the medical personnel. We can refer to past judgement which introduced the *Nuremberg Code*, to better understand the implications, with the *Principles of International Law Recognized in the Charter of the Nurnberg Tribunal and in the Judgement of the Tribunal* (see Appendix #3):

***“Principle I***

*Any person who commits an act which constitutes a crime under international law is responsible therefor and liable to punishment.*

***Principle II***

*The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which constitutes a crime under international law does not relieve the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law.*

***Principle III***

*The fact that a person who committed an act which constitutes a crime under international law acted as Head of State or responsible Government official does not relieve him from responsibility under international law.*

***Principle IV***

*The fact that a person acted pursuant to order of his Government or of a superior does not relieve him from responsibility under international law, provided a moral choice was in fact possible to him.*

***Principle V***

*Any person charged with a crime under international law has the right to a fair trial on the facts and law.”*

It appears like only people in governments have the knowledge about these experimental injections. Thousands of [health specialists worldwide](#)<sup>58</sup>, who object to the medical experiment using this injection, have been widely silenced and ridiculed, even though they are studying the current disease and finding scientific solutions that could counter the SARS-CoV-2. Why is your government ignoring the [world scientific community](#)<sup>59</sup> proposing less invasive solutions and therapies? As soon as a post or an article mentions a treatment which contradicts the [official narrative](#)<sup>60</sup>, there is a “[Fact-checker](#)<sup>61</sup>” message indicating that it is not the truth or that it is only partially true, they seem more like “*coercing agents*”, as if educated people were not allowed to think by themselves, to research further and have their own point-of-view. It is said that many of these “*Fact-checkers*” actually work for the non-profit organizations [profiting](#)<sup>62</sup> from the experimental injections. Why is your government accepting money from non-profit organizations which have direct interests in these experiments? Is it legal now, to let people promote the worldwide use of a product while gaining from its promotion? Isn't it the definition itself, of a [conflict of interest](#)<sup>63</sup>? How can your government protect the population from these criminals if on the other hand it is accepting promotional money from them? Is this the reason why your government only proposes this experimental injection instead of creating a proactive collective health plan, in order to achieve a natural herd immunity? The medical geniuses in your government could have proposed to boost Canadians' immune system right from the start, instead of closing up the country entirely for this flu-like disease. The lack of vision seems to be rampant amongst those people in governments affected in managing the current crisis.

Meanwhile [researches](#)<sup>64</sup> have shown that there is a harmless and effective treatment, the [hydroxychloroquine](#)<sup>65</sup> which could have been used right from the beginning, which would have prevented most deaths but instead, it was fought against by every level of [government](#)<sup>66</sup> and the MSM. A [study](#)<sup>67</sup> done in 2005 have demonstrated its

efficiency in combating SARS-CoV-1, and this medicine is even part of the [WHO's list of essential medicine](#)<sup>68</sup>. How does your government justify banning this non-intrusive medicine for the treatment of the SARS-CoV-2, and let the MSM mislead Canadians, even calling it a deadly solution? Who, or which department in your government, Mr. Trudeau, was responsible for prohibiting this proven treatment? Will your government investigate this failure within its rank? From the outside, it looks very suspicious to have left out such treatment and promoting an unproven solution. After a year of propaganda and deaths, this misjudgement can be seen as criminal negligence from your government's medical committee which should be made accountable for this gigantic failure.

Also, early on, scientists demonstrated that there was a correlation between SARS-Cov-2 and a [vitamin D deficiency](#)<sup>69</sup>, but they were ignored and/or ridiculed by officials and the MSM. Research did prove that vitamins intake does boost the immune system, this natural ability every human possesses to fight diseases, but forgotten in the past year. Vitamin D is widely provided by [sun exposures](#)<sup>70</sup>. Now, we are hearing (*from the same people profiting from the sales of the experimental injections*) insane propositions to block the sun, using solar [geoengineering](#)<sup>71</sup> programs, it is not just a "conspiracy theory" anymore, it is proposed as a plan. Research demonstrated that these programs have been creating the climate changes we have been experiencing in the past decades. The pollution they have been spraying daily, has caused respiratory illnesses and cancers, depriving Canadians of the vitamin D from the sun, a necessity for their mental and physical health. When, Mr. Trudeau, will these programs be stopped, and the people and organizations involved be fully investigated and prosecuted, for their role in manipulating the climate? This is a direct violation of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights'* article 3 (see Appendix #1) which stipulates:

**"Article 3**

*Everyone has the right to life, liberty and the security of person."*

During my research, I have found that in 2017, non-profit organizations held what was called "[Event 201, A Global Pandemic Exercise](#)<sup>72</sup>" in which the Canadian government took part. Don't you think, Mr. Trudeau, that it is very suspicious, to say the least, that this event predicted the entire scenario we have actually been living for the past year with this manmade flu? It feels like we are living the "[plandemic](#)<sup>73</sup>" from this event.

I also stumbled upon a very descriptive document titled "[The SPARS Pandemic 2025-2028: A Futuristic Scenario to Facilitate Medical Countermeasure Communication](#)<sup>74</sup>". Reading this document gave me the chills, it felt like reading the nightmarish playbook of the actual crisis which included a part about the consequences of the experimental injection, the annihilation of humanity. What is also odd here, is that again, it is the same [non-profit organizations](#)<sup>75</sup> which are [financing](#)<sup>76</sup> those who authored the document. Knowing that these same organizations are working hard [against the wellbeing of humanity](#)<sup>77</sup>, will your government have the courage to cut the ties from these [international organizations](#)<sup>78</sup> which promote a "[Great Reset](#)<sup>79</sup>" on the [global economy](#)<sup>80</sup>, which you have mentioned, along with the other one, proposing massive taxation, which will enrich the rich and enslave the rest of humanity? The *Universal Declaration of Human Rights'* last article (see Appendix #1) mentions:

**"Article 30**

*Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein."*

Mr. Trudeau, I think, it is now time for the *Geneva Convention*, the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*, the *Convention on Torture* and the *Nuremberg Code* to be respected in their entirety, and to make everyone breaching any of their clause, to be made accountable and to pay the price, for their actions or their inactions, to the full extension of their intent, in order to bring back humanity and its inherent dignity.

In resume Mr. Trudeau, please stop testing Canadians for a rebranded engineered flu. Stop the illegal masking of the population. Stop these criminal medical experiments onto misled Canadians. Make it a priority to provide clean drinkable water to every citizen across the country. Reverse the burden of food labelling from organic to chemically altered food producers. Bring to justice everyone involved, in this planned pandemic's crimes against humanity, from every level of government along with those from private organizations. Demand the highest standards of integrity and ethics from every Canadian public servant, including yourself, Mr. Trudeau, and if they can't achieve these high standards in doing their tasks, they should be fired or resign, regardless of their [position](#)<sup>81</sup>.

We are living very crazy unprecedented times in modern history. Wouldn't it be great for everyone, Mr. Trudeau, if your government proposed to Canadians, a solution founded on humanity? A solution in which all Canadians are encouraged to care for their neighbour, for their health and wellbeing, no matter the shade of their skin, just return to the intrinsic values of loving human beings, and actively play our part as conscientious citizens in harmony with our environment as it is referenced in the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*' first article (see Appendix #1):

***"Article I***

*All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood."*

All united with [First Nations](#)<sup>82</sup>, caring for every citizen, and making sure that every person who comes to our country, feels accepted. It is everyone's right to feel welcomed, to be able to adapt to the Canadian way of life, without feeling excluded, needing to live in ghettos with peers from their country of origin, in order to survive in our beautiful Canada. It is time we each take responsibility, and demand the best from our representatives, decisions based solely on what's best for all Canadians instead of parties' lines. Our country was founded with the Christian values. As a matter of fact, don't we still have to swear on the Bible throughout our institutions? Maybe it is now, the perfect timing to really go back to our roots, and honour of Creator's words, the Source of our Life, which unites us all as One, our God. Just like it is said in the [KJV Bible](#)<sup>83</sup>'s second commandment:

***"Mark 12:31:***

*(...), thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. There is none other commandment greater (...)"*

Thank you, Mr. Trudeau, for reading this letter, in the hope you will honor your oath of office as Prime Minister of Canada, honoring us along the way. May God enlighten you, and give you the courage to get rid of the bad weeds in our country, and reopen our country, in order to make Canada a world leader again.

Sincerely,

*Chantal Dupuis*

**Post Scriptum:** I wrote this letter in accordance with the [Article 19](#) of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*:

***"Article 19***

*Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers."*

- C.C. - [The International Criminal Court](#)  
- [Amnesty International](#)  
- [The Canadian Human Rights Tribunal](#)



mailing address:

Chantal Dupuis

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Ottawa, On

# APPENDIX

## Appendix #1

### Universal Declaration of Human Rights

#### Preamble

Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world,

Whereas disregard and contempt for human rights have resulted in barbarous acts which have outraged the conscience of mankind, and the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want has been proclaimed as the highest aspiration of the common people,

Whereas it is essential, if man is not to be compelled to have recourse, as a last resort, to rebellion against tyranny and oppression, that human rights should be protected by the rule of law,

Whereas it is essential to promote the development of friendly relations between nations,

Whereas the peoples of the United Nations have in the Charter reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights, in the dignity and worth of the human person and in the equal rights of men and women and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,

Whereas Member States have pledged themselves to achieve, in cooperation with the United Nations, the promotion of universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms,

Whereas a common understanding of these rights and freedoms is of the greatest importance for the full realization of this pledge,

Now, therefore,

The General Assembly,

Proclaims this Universal Declaration of Human Rights as a common standard of achievement for all peoples and all nations, to the end that every individual and every organ of society, keeping this Declaration constantly in mind, shall strive by teaching and education to promote respect for these rights and freedoms and by progressive measures, national and international, to secure their universal and effective recognition and observance, both among the peoples of Member States themselves and among the peoples of territories under their jurisdiction.

#### Article 1

All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

#### Article 2

Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. ([back to text](#))

Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty. ([back to text](#))

#### Article 3

Everyone has the right to life, liberty and the security of person. ([back to text](#))

#### Article 4

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

**Article 5**

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. ([back to text](#))

**Article 6**

Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.

**Article 7**

All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of this Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination. ([back to text](#))

**Article 8**

Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.

**Article 9**

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.

**Article 10**

Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.

**Article 11**

1. Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defence.
2. No one shall be held guilty of any penal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a penal offence, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed. Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time the penal offence was committed.

**Article 12**

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks. ([back to text](#))

**Article 13**

1. Everyone has the right to freedom of movement and residence within the borders of each State.
2. Everyone has the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country.

**Article 14**

1. Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution.
2. This right may not be invoked in the case of prosecutions genuinely arising from non-political crimes or from acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.

**Article 15**

1. Everyone has the right to a nationality.
2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.

**Article 16**

1. Men and women of full age, without any limitation due to race, nationality or religion, have the right to marry and to found a family. They are entitled to equal rights as to marriage, during marriage and at its dissolution.
2. Marriage shall be entered into only with the free and full consent of the intending spouses.

3. The family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to protection by society and the State.

#### **Article 17**

1. Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.
2. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.

#### **Article 18**

Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance. ([back to text](#))

#### **Article 19**

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

#### **Article 20**

1. Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.
2. No one may be compelled to belong to an association.

#### **Article 21**

1. Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives.
2. Everyone has the right to equal access to public service in his country.
3. The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures.

#### **Article 22**

Everyone, as a member of society, has the right to social security and is entitled to realization, through national effort and international co-operation and in accordance with the organization and resources of each State, of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.

#### **Article 23**

1. Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favourable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.
2. Everyone, without any discrimination, has the right to equal pay for equal work.
3. Everyone who works has the right to just and favourable remuneration ensuring for himself and his family an existence worthy of human dignity, and supplemented, if necessary, by other means of social protection.
4. Everyone has the right to form and to join trade unions for the protection of his interests.

#### **Article 24**

Everyone has the right to rest and leisure, including reasonable limitation of working hours and periodic holidays with pay.

#### **Article 25**

1. Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control.
2. Motherhood and childhood are entitled to special care and assistance. All children, whether born in or out of wedlock, shall enjoy the same social protection. ([back to text](#))

**Article 26**

1. Everyone has the right to education. Education shall be free, at least in the elementary and fundamental stages. Elementary education shall be compulsory. Technical and professional education shall be made generally available and higher education shall be equally accessible to all on the basis of merit.
2. Education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations, racial or religious groups, and shall further the activities of the United Nations for the maintenance of peace.
3. Parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children. ([back to text](#))

**Article 27**

1. Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits.
2. Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author. ([back to text](#))

**Article 28**

Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized.

**Article 29**

1. Everyone has duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his personality is possible.
2. In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society.
3. These rights and freedoms may in no case be exercised contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.

**Article 30**

Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein. ([back to text](#))

Source: United Nations' website (accessed 2021-04-03)

<https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/udhr.pdf>

## Appendix #2

### **Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide Approved and proposed for signature and ratification or accession by General Assembly resolution 260 A (III) of 9 December 1948 Entry into force: 12 January 1951, in accordance with article XIII**

The Contracting Parties ,

Having considered the declaration made by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its resolution 96 (I) dated 11 December 1946 that genocide is a crime under international law, contrary to the spirit and aims of the United Nations and condemned by the civilized world,

Recognizing that at all periods of history genocide has inflicted great losses on humanity, and  
Being convinced that, in order to liberate mankind from such an odious scourge, international co-operation is required,

Hereby agree as hereinafter provided :

#### **Article I**

The Contracting Parties confirm that genocide, whether committed in time of peace or in time of war, is a crime under international law which they undertake to prevent and to punish. ([back to text](#))

#### **Article II**

In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

- (a) Killing members of the group;
- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
- (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group. ([back to text](#))

#### **Article III**

The following acts shall be punishable:

- (a) Genocide;
- (b) Conspiracy to commit genocide;
- (c) Direct and public incitement to commit genocide;
- (d) Attempt to commit genocide;
- (e) Complicity in genocide.

#### **Article IV**

Persons committing genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III shall be punished, whether they are constitutionally responsible rulers, public officials or private individuals.

#### **Article V**

The Contracting Parties undertake to enact, in accordance with their respective Constitutions, the necessary legislation to give effect to the provisions of the present Convention, and, in particular, to provide effective penalties for persons guilty of genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III.

#### **Article VI**

Persons charged with genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III shall be tried by a competent tribunal of the State in the territory of which the act was committed, or by such international penal tribunal as may have jurisdiction with respect to those Contracting Parties which shall have accepted its jurisdiction.



**Article VII**

Genocide and the other acts enumerated in article III shall not be considered as political crimes for the purpose of extradition.

The Contracting Parties pledge themselves in such cases to grant extradition in accordance with their laws and treaties in force.

**Article VIII**

Any Contracting Party may call upon the competent organs of the United Nations to take such action under the Charter of the United Nations as they consider appropriate for the prevention and suppression of acts of genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III.

**Article IX**

Disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or fulfilment of the present Convention, including those relating to the responsibility of a State for genocide or for any of the other acts enumerated in article III, shall be submitted to the International Court of Justice at the request of any of the parties to the dispute.

**Article X**

The present Convention, of which the Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, shall bear the date of 9 December 1948.

**Article XI**

The present Convention shall be open until 31 December 1949 for signature on behalf of any Member of the United Nations and of any non-member State to which an invitation to sign has been addressed by the General Assembly.

The present Convention shall be ratified, and the instruments of ratification shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

After 1 January 1950, the present Convention may be acceded to on behalf of any Member of the United Nations and of any non-member State which has received an invitation as aforesaid.

Instruments of accession shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

**Article XII**

Any Contracting Party may at any time, by notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, extend the application of the present Convention to all or any of the territories for the conduct of whose foreign relations that Contracting Party is responsible.

**Article XIII**

On the day when the first twenty instruments of ratification or accession have been deposited, the Secretary-General shall draw up a procès-verbal and transmit a copy thereof to each Member of the United Nations and to each of the non-member States contemplated in article XI.

The present Convention shall come into force on the ninetieth day following the date of deposit of the twentieth instrument of ratification or accession.

Any ratification or accession effected subsequent to the latter date shall become effective on the ninetieth day following the deposit of the instrument of ratification or accession.

**Article XIV**

The present Convention shall remain in effect for a period of ten years as from the date of its coming into force.

It shall thereafter remain in force for successive periods of five years for such Contracting Parties as have not denounced it at least six months before the expiration of the current period.

Denunciation shall be effected by a written notification addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

**Article XV**

If, as a result of denunciations, the number of Parties to the present Convention should become less than sixteen, the Convention shall cease to be in force as from the date on which the last of these denunciations shall become effective.

**Article XVI**

A request for the revision of the present Convention may be made at any time by any Contracting Party by means of a notification in writing addressed to the Secretary-General.

The General Assembly shall decide upon the steps, if any, to be taken in respect of such request.

**Article XVII**

The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall notify all Members of the United Nations and the non-member States contemplated in article XI of the following:

- (a) Signatures, ratifications and accessions received in accordance with article XI;
- (b) Notifications received in accordance with article XII;
- (c) The date upon which the present Convention comes into force in accordance with article XIII;
- (d) Denunciations received in accordance with article XIV;
- (e) The abrogation of the Convention in accordance with article XV;
- (f) Notifications received in accordance with article XVI.

**Article XVIII**

The original of the present Convention shall be deposited in the archives of the United Nations.

A certified copy of the Convention shall be transmitted to each Member of the United Nations and to each of the non-member States contemplated in article XI.

**Article XIX**

The present Convention shall be registered by the Secretary-General of the United Nations on the date of its coming into force.

Source: United Nations' website (accessed 2021-04-03)

[https://www.un.org/en/genocideprevention/documents/atrocities-crimes/Doc.1\\_Convention%20on%20the%20Prevention%20and%20Punishment%20of%20the%20Crime%20of%20Genocide.pdf](https://www.un.org/en/genocideprevention/documents/atrocities-crimes/Doc.1_Convention%20on%20the%20Prevention%20and%20Punishment%20of%20the%20Crime%20of%20Genocide.pdf)

## Appendix #3

### **Principles of International Law Recognized in the Charter of the Nürnberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal**

#### **Principle I**

Any person who commits an act which constitutes a crime under international law is responsible therefor and liable to punishment. ([back to text](#))

#### **Principle II**

The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which constitutes a crime under international law does not relieve the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law. ([back to text](#))

#### **Principle III**

The fact that a person who committed an act which constitutes a crime under international law acted as Head of State or responsible Government official does not relieve him from responsibility under international law. ([back to text](#))

#### **Principle IV**

The fact that a person acted pursuant to order of his Government or of a superior does not relieve him from responsibility under international law, provided a moral choice was in fact possible to him. ([back to text](#))

#### **Principle V**

Any person charged with a crime under international law has the right to a fair trial on the facts and law. ([back to text](#))

#### **Principle VI**

The crimes hereinafter set out are punishable as crimes under international law:

- (a) Crimes against peace:
  - (i) Planning, preparation, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances;
  - (ii) Participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the acts mentioned under (i).
- (b) War crimes:

Violations of the laws or customs of war which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation to slave-labour or for any other purpose of civilian population of or in occupied territory, murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war, of persons on the seas, killing of hostages, plunder of public or private property, wanton destruction of cities, towns, or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity.
- (c) Crimes against humanity:

Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation and other inhuman acts done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime.

#### **Principle VII**

Complicity in the commission of a crime against peace, a war crime, or a crime against humanity as set forth in Principle VI is a crime under international law.

Source: United Nations' website (accessed 2021-04-03)

[https://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/draft\\_articles/7\\_1\\_1950.pdf](https://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/draft_articles/7_1_1950.pdf)

## The Nuremberg Code [\(back to text\)](#)

1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.  
This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person, which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.  
The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity. [\(back to text\)](#)
2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature. [\(back to text\)](#)
3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study, that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment. [\(back to text\)](#)
4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury. [\(back to text\)](#)
5. No experiment should be conducted, where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects. [\(back to text\)](#)
6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment. [\(back to text\)](#)
7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death. [\(back to text\)](#)
8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment. [\(back to text\)](#)
9. During the course of the experiment, the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end, if he has reached the physical or mental state, where continuation of the experiment seemed to him to be impossible. [\(back to text\)](#)
10. During the course of the experiment, the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgement required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject. [\(back to text\)](#)

["Trials of War Criminals before the Nuremberg Military Tribunals under Control Council Law No. 10", Vol. 2, pp. 181-182. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1949.]

Source: National Institutes of Health's website (accessed 2021-04-03)  
<https://history.nih.gov/display/history/Nuremberg+Code>

## Appendix #5

### Extract from: **Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court**

#### **PART 2.**

#### **JURISDICTION, ADMISSIBILITY AND APPLICABLE LAW**

##### **Article 5**

##### **Crimes within the jurisdiction of the Court**

The jurisdiction of the Court shall be limited to the most serious crimes of concern to the international community as a whole. The Court has jurisdiction in accordance with this Statute with respect to the following crimes:

- (a) The crime of genocide;
- (b) Crimes against humanity; ([back to text](#))
- (c) War crimes;
- (d) The crime of aggression.

##### **Article 6**

##### **Genocide**

For the purpose of this Statute, "genocide" means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

- (a) Killing members of the group;
- (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
- (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
- (d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;
- (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.

##### **Article 7**

##### **Crimes against humanity**

1. For the purpose of this Statute, "crime against humanity" means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack:

- (a) Murder;
- (b) Extermination;
- (c) Enslavement;
- (d) Deportation or forcible transfer of population;
- (e) Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of International law; ([back to text](#))
- (f) Torture; ([back to text](#))
- (g) Rape, sexual slavery, enforced prostitution, forced pregnancy, enforced sterilization, or any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity;
- (h) Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender as defined in paragraph 3, or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (i) Enforced disappearance of persons;

- (j) The crime of apartheid;
- (k) Other inhumane acts of a similar character intentionally causing great suffering, or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health. ([back to text](#))

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1:

- (a) "Attack directed against any civilian population" means a course of conduct involving the multiple commission of acts referred to in paragraph 1 against any civilian population, pursuant to or in furtherance of a State or organizational policy to commit such attack; ([back to text](#))
- (b) "Extermination" includes the intentional infliction of conditions of life, inter alia the deprivation of access to food and medicine, calculated to bring about the destruction of part of a population;
- (c) "Enslavement" means the exercise of any or all of the powers attaching to the right of ownership over a person and includes the exercise of such power in the course of trafficking in persons, in particular women and children;
- (d) "Deportation or forcible transfer of population" means forced displacement of the persons concerned by expulsion or other coercive acts from the area in which they are lawfully present, without grounds permitted under international law;
- (e) "Torture" means the intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, upon a person in the custody or under the control of the accused; except that torture shall not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to, lawful sanctions; ([back to text](#))
- (f) "Forced pregnancy" means the unlawful confinement of a woman forcibly made pregnant, with the intent of affecting the ethnic composition of any population or carrying out other grave violations of international law. This definition shall not in any way be interpreted as affecting national laws relating to pregnancy;
- (g) "Persecution" means the intentional and severe deprivation of fundamental rights contrary to international law by reason of the identity of the group or collectivity;
- (h) "The crime of apartheid" means inhumane acts of a character similar to those referred to in paragraph 1, committed in the context of an institutionalized regime of systematic oppression and domination by one racial group over any other racial group or groups and committed with the intention of maintaining that regime;
- (i) "Enforced disappearance of persons" means the arrest, detention or abduction of persons by, or with the authorization, support or acquiescence of, a State or a political organization, followed by a refusal to acknowledge that deprivation of freedom or to give information on the fate or whereabouts of those persons, with the intention of removing them from the protection of the law for a prolonged period of time.

3. For the purpose of this Statute, it is understood that the term "gender" refers to the two sexes, male and female, within the context of society. The term "gender" does not indicate any meaning different from the above.

Source: International Criminal Court's website (PDF file, pp. 10-11, accessed 2021-04-03)

<https://www.icc-cpi.int/resource-library/documents/rs-eng.pdf>



<i>BIDERMAN'S CHART OF COERCION</i>			53
<i>General Method</i>	<i>Effects (Purposes)</i>	<i>Variants</i>	
1. Isolation	Deprives victim of all social support of his ability to resist Develops an intense concern with self Makes victim dependent upon interrogator	Complete solitary confinement Complete isolation Semi-isolation Group isolation	
2. Monopolisation of perception	Fixes attention upon immediate predicament; fosters introspection Eliminates stimuli competing with those controlled by captor Frustrates all actions not consistent with compliance	Physical isolation Darkness or bright light Barren environment Restricted movement Monotonous food	
3. Induced debility Exhaustion	Weakens mental and physical ability to resist	Semi-starvation Exposure Exploitation of wounds Induced illness Sleep deprivation Prolonged constraint Prolonged interrogation Forced writing Overexertion	
4. Threats	Cultivates anxiety & despair	Threats of death Threats of non-return Threats of endless interrogation & isolation Threats against family Vague threats Mysterious changes of treatment	
5. Occasional indulgences	Provides positive motivation for compliance Hinders adjustment to deprivation	Occasional favours Fluctuations of interrogators' attitudes Promises Rewards for partial compliance Tantalising	
6. Demonstrating 'omnipotence'	Suggests futility of resistance	Confrontation Pretending co-operation taken for granted Demonstrating complete control over victim's fate	
7. Degradation	Makes cost of resistance appear more damaging to self esteem than capitulation Reduces prisoner to 'animal level' concerns	Personal hygiene prevented Filthy infested surroundings Demeaning punishments Insults and taunts Denial of privacy	
8. Enforcing trivial demands	Develops habit of compliance	Forced writing Enforcement of minute rules	

Source: Amnesty International's website (accessed 2021-04-03)

<https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/204000/act400011975eng.pdf>

## ENDNOTES

---

- <sup>1</sup> How Are COVID Deaths Being Counted In Canada? <https://druthers.net/how-are-covid-deaths-being-counted-in-canada/> (back to text)
- <sup>2</sup> An overview of our Lockdown Challenges <https://www.jccf.ca/an-overview-of-our-lockdown-challenges/> (back to text)
- <sup>3</sup> United States PatentUS 7,220,852 B1  
<https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/6b/c3/21/a62eb55a0e678c/US7220852.pdf> (back to text)
- <sup>4</sup> The 2nd Yan Report.pdf <https://zenodo.org/record/4073131/files/The%202nd%20Yan%20Report.pdf> (back to text)
- <sup>5</sup> SARS-CoV-2 Is an Unrestricted Bioweapon: A Truth Revealed through Uncovering a Large-Scale, Organized Scientific Fraud  
<https://zenodo.org/record/4073131> (back to text)
- <sup>6</sup> Who is Behind “Fake News”? Mainstream Media Use Fake Videos and Images <https://www.globalresearch.ca/who-is-behind-fake-news-mainstream-media-use-fake-videos-and-images/5557580> (back to text)
- <sup>7</sup> Scientists discover ‘gene within gene’ hiding among coronavirus nucleotides <https://www.rt.com/news/506357-hidden-gene-within-covid-genome/> (back to text)
- <sup>8</sup> Virologists documents biological evidence that SARS-CoV-2 was created as a bio-weapon and INTENTIONALLY released  
<https://www.vaccines.news/2020-10-09-sars-cov-2-a-chinese-bioweapon-intentionally-released.html> (back to text)
- <sup>9</sup> Dr. Fauci Backed Controversial Wuhan Lab with U.S. Dollars for Risky Coronavirus Research  
<https://www.newsweek.com/dr-fauci-backed-controversial-wuhan-lab-millions-us-dollars-risky-coronavirus-research-1500741> (back to text)
- <sup>10</sup> Wuhan lab scientist published paper on lab leak caused by rats <https://www.taiwannews.com.tw/en/news/4108578> (back to text)
- <sup>11</sup> The possible origins of 2019-nCoV coronavirus  
[https://web.archive.org/web/20200214144447/https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339070128\\_The\\_possible\\_origins\\_of\\_2019-nCoV\\_coronavirus](https://web.archive.org/web/20200214144447/https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339070128_The_possible_origins_of_2019-nCoV_coronavirus) (back to text)
- <sup>12</sup> Dr. Fauci Backed Controversial Wuhan Lab with U.S. Dollars for Risky Coronavirus Research  
<https://www.newsweek.com/dr-fauci-backed-controversial-wuhan-lab-millions-us-dollars-risky-coronavirus-research-1500741> (back to text)
- <sup>13</sup> Dr. Fauci Likely Broke US Regulations and US Law When He Funded Wuhan Lab to Continue Coronavirus Projects That Were Banned in US in 2014 <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2020/05/updated-dr-fauci-likely-broke-us-law-funded-wuhan-lab-continue-coronavirus-projects-banned-us-2014/> (back to text)
- <sup>14</sup> What is Gain-of-Function Research & Who is at High Risk? <https://ahrp.org/what-is-gain-of-function-research-who-is-at-high-risk/> (back to text)
- <sup>15</sup> WHO inspector caught on camera revealing coronavirus manipulation in Wuhan before pandemic  
<https://www.taiwannews.com.tw/en/news/4104828> (back to text)
- <sup>16</sup> Seniors In Alberta Nursing Homes To Get At Least Two Baths A Week  
[https://web.archive.org/web/20201202091154/https://www.huffingtonpost.ca/2013/03/05/alberta-seniors-bathing-standards-nursing-home\\_n\\_2813862.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20201202091154/https://www.huffingtonpost.ca/2013/03/05/alberta-seniors-bathing-standards-nursing-home_n_2813862.html) (back to text)
- <sup>17</sup> Quebec takes charge of seniors' home after reports of death, unhygienic conditions <https://montreal.ctvnews.ca/quebec-takes-charge-of-seniors-home-after-reports-of-death-unhygienic-conditions-1.4891741> (back to text)
- <sup>18</sup> 10 Scientific Studies Proving GMOs Can Be Harmful To Human Health <https://www.collective-evolution.com/2014/04/08/10-scientific-studies-proving-gmos-can-be-harmful-to-human-health/> (back to text)
- <sup>19</sup> Excretion of the Herbicide Glyphosate in Older Adults Between 1993 and 2016  
<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2658306> (back to text)
- <sup>20</sup> Canada Water Act <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/PDF/C-11.pdf> (back to text)
- <sup>21</sup> Developmental Fluoride Neurotoxicity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3491930/> (back to text)
- <sup>22</sup> Central Nervous System Damage from Fluorides <http://www.fluoridation.com/brain2.htm> (back to text)

- 
- <sup>23</sup> Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laneur/article/PIIS1474-4422%2813%2970278-3/fulltext#article\\_upsell](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laneur/article/PIIS1474-4422%2813%2970278-3/fulltext#article_upsell) (back to text)
- <sup>24</sup> Fluoride's Mutagenicity: In Vitro Studies <http://fluoridealert.org/studies/cancer01/> (back to text)
- <sup>25</sup> All families, children evacuated as Neskantaga First Nation faces new water crisis, chief says <https://www.ctvnews.ca/canada/all-families-children-evacuated-as-neskantaga-first-nation-faces-new-water-crisis-chief-says-1.5160272> (back to text)
- <sup>26</sup> Calling them 'Nazis,' pastor kicks police out of church at Easter <https://torontosun.com/news/national/warmington-calling-them-nazis-pastor-kicks-police-out-of-church-at-easter> (back to text)
- <sup>27</sup> Fencing goes up around GraceLife Church west of Edmonton as AHS 'physically closes' facility <https://globalnews.ca/news/7742895/edmonton-area-gracelife-church-closure-covid-19/> (back to text)
- <sup>28</sup> Police Services Act [https://www.canlii.org/en/on/laws/stat/rso-1990-c-p15/latest/rso-1990-c-p15.html#sec2\\_smooth](https://www.canlii.org/en/on/laws/stat/rso-1990-c-p15/latest/rso-1990-c-p15.html#sec2_smooth) (back to text)
- <sup>29</sup> Canadian Doctors Speak Out Against Government COVID Restrictions – Masks and Vaccines Not Needed! <https://healthimpactnews.com/2021/canadian-doctors-speak-out-against-government-covid-restrictions-masks-and-vaccines-not-needed/> (back to text)
- <sup>30</sup> Masks made in China now come with a warning, making it clear that they do not protect against corona, “may cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.” <https://tapnewswire.com/2021/04/masks-made-in-china-now-come-with-a-warning-making-it-clear-that-they-do-not-protect-against-corona-may-cause-cancer-birth-defects-and-other-reproductive-harm/> (back to text)
- <sup>31</sup> Nine studies from the NIH & CDC on mask wearing <https://merica1st.com/nine-studies-from-the-nih-cdc-on-mask-wearing/> (back to text)
- <sup>32</sup> Long-term Mask Use May Contribute to Advanced Stage Lung Cancer, Study Finds <https://www.globalresearch.ca/long-term-mask-use-may-contribute-advanced-stage-lung-cancer-study-finds/5736339> (back to text)
- <sup>33</sup> CO2 Levels In A Mask <https://www.bitchute.com/video/qYdG7RjCyqGt/> (back to text)
- <sup>34</sup> Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6988269/> (back to text)
- <sup>35</sup> The COVID-19 RT-PCR Test: How to Mislead All Humanity. Using a “Test” To Lock Down Society <https://www.globalresearch.ca/covid-19-rt-pcr-how-to-mislead-all-humanity-using-a-test-to-lock-down-society/5728483> (back to text)
- <sup>36</sup> PCR Inventor: “It doesn’t tell you that you are sick” <https://off-guardian.org/2020/10/05/pcr-inventor-it-doesnt-tell-you-that-you-are-sick/> (back to text)
- <sup>37</sup> COVID19 PCR Tests are Scientifically Meaningless <https://bpa-pathology.com/covid19-pcr-tests-are-scientifically-meaningless/> (back to text)
- <sup>38</sup> External peer review of the RTPCR test to detect SARS-CoV-2 reveals 10 major scientific flaws at the molecular and methodological level: consequences for false positive results <https://cormandrostenreview.com/report/> (back to text)
- <sup>39</sup> WHO Information Notice for IVD Users <https://web.archive.org/web/20210119005944/https://www.who.int/news/item/14-12-2020-who-information-notice-for-ivd-users> (back to text)
- <sup>40</sup> Nova Scotia embraced rapid testing for COVID-19 months ago. Why have other provinces been so slow? <https://nationalpost.com/news/politics/nova-scotia-embraced-rapid-testing-for-covid-19-months-ago-why-have-other-provinces-been-so-slow> (back to text)
- <sup>41</sup> Incoming travellers will be charged \$2,000 to pay for mandatory quarantine hotel stays [https://www.rebelnews.com/report\\_trudeau\\_to\\_force\\_incoming\\_travellers\\_to\\_quarantine\\_in\\_hotels](https://www.rebelnews.com/report_trudeau_to_force_incoming_travellers_to_quarantine_in_hotels) (back to text)
- <sup>42</sup> PCR Testing – Here Is Why There Are So Many False Positive COVID-19 Cases <https://greatgameindia.com/false-positive-covid-19/> (back to text)

- 
- <sup>43</sup> Portuguese Court Rules PCR Tests as Unreliable & Unlawful to Quarantine People  
<https://www.transcend.org/tms/2020/11/portuguese-court-rules-pcr-tests-as-unreliable-unlawful-to-quarantine-people/> (back to text)
- <sup>44</sup> Lockdowns Do Not Control the Coronavirus: The Evidence <https://www.aier.org/article/lockdowns-do-not-control-the-coronavirus-the-evidence/> (back to text)
- <sup>45</sup> Physical activity and your health <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/being-active/physical-activity-your-health.html> (back to text)
- <sup>46</sup> OPP officer SHOVES 12-year-old boy while enforcing COVID restrictions  
[https://www.rebelnews.com/opp\\_officer\\_shoves\\_12\\_year\\_old\\_boy\\_while\\_enforcing\\_covid\\_restrictions](https://www.rebelnews.com/opp_officer_shoves_12_year_old_boy_while_enforcing_covid_restrictions) (back to text)
- <sup>47</sup> The lockdown “a global scientific fraud of unprecedented proportions” says UHP <https://tapnewswire.com/2021/02/the-lockdown-a-global-scientific-fraud-of-unprecedented-proportions-says-uhp/> (back to text)
- <sup>48</sup> Position Paper, Vaccine Immunity Passports <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/Response-to-Immunity-Passports-FINAL.pdf> (back to text)
- <sup>49</sup> Agenda 21 <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/dsd/agenda21/index.shtml> (back to text)
- <sup>50</sup> Agenda 2030 <https://www.un.org/humansecurity/agenda-2030/> (back to text)
- <sup>51</sup> Ford Government Memo Warns of \$1.6 Billion in Education Cuts and Plans to Layoff Thousands of Frontline Workers  
<https://pressprogress.ca/ford-government-memo-warns-of-1-6-billion-in-education-cuts-and-plans-to-layoff-thousands-of-frontline-workers/> (back to text)
- <sup>52</sup> vaccine <https://dictionary.reverso.net/english-definition/vaccine> (back to text)
- <sup>53</sup> Moderna Admits: MRNA Jabs Are An ‘Operating System’ Designed To Program Humans  
<https://humansarefree.com/2021/01/moderna-mrna-jabs-operating-system-program-humans.html> (back to text)
- <sup>54</sup> Justice Department Announces Largest Health Care Fraud Settlement in Its History  
<https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-largest-health-care-fraud-settlement-its-history> (back to text)
- <sup>55</sup> Deaths of Elderly Who Recovered From COVID-19, but Died After Vaccine, Raise Questions  
[https://www.theepochtimes.com/deaths-of-elderly-who-recovered-from-covid-19-but-died-after-vaccine-raise-questions\\_3692259.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/deaths-of-elderly-who-recovered-from-covid-19-but-died-after-vaccine-raise-questions_3692259.html) (back to text)
- <sup>56</sup> Clark County teen has 3 brain surgeries after J&J vaccine shot <https://www.reviewjournal.com/local/local-las-vegas/clark-county-teen-has-3-brain-surgeries-after-jj-vaccine-shot-2333249/> (back to text)
- <sup>57</sup> The new mRNA coronavirus vaccines will likely cause immune cells to attack placenta cells, causing female infertility, miscarriage or birth defects <https://www.naturalnews.com/2020-12-07-mrna-vaccines-may-cause-body-attack-placenta-cells.html> (back to text)
- <sup>58</sup> Doctors Around the World Issue Dire WARNING: DO NOT GET THE EXPERIMENTAL COVID VACCINE!!  
<https://rumble.com/vdbg4t-doctors-around-the-world-issue-dire-warning-do-not-get-the-experimental-cov.html> (back to text)
- <sup>59</sup> Hydroxychloroquine: An Open Letter to Our Community and Beyond  
<https://www.henryford.com/news/2020/08/hydroxychloroquine-an-open-letter> (back to text)
- <sup>60</sup> The Corruption of Science. The Hydroxychloroquine Lancet Study Scandal. Who Was Behind It? Anthony Fauci’s Intent To Block HCQ on Behalf of Big Pharma <https://www.globalresearch.ca/the-corruption-of-science-the-hydroxychloroquine-lancet-study-scandal-who-was-behind-it-anthony-faucis-intent-to-block-hcq-on-behalf-of-big-pharma/5715568> (back to text)
- <sup>61</sup> Facebook fact checkers CENSURED me when I said Covid infection fatality rate was around 0.1%. But what do the latest studies say? <https://www.rt.com/op-ed/504167-facebook-fact-checkers-censorship/> (back to text)
- <sup>62</sup> Journalism’s Gates keepers <https://www.cjr.org/criticism/gates-foundation-journalism-funding.php> (back to text)
- <sup>63</sup> Is a Conflict of Interest Illegal? <https://www.delta-net.com/compliance/ethics/faqs/is-a-conflict-of-interest-illegal> (back to text)
- <sup>64</sup> More Doctors Speak Out on Censorship and Mass Murderer Anthony Fauci’s False Information Regarding Hydroxychloroquine <https://healthimpactnews.com/2020/more-doctors-speak-out-on-censorship-and-mass-murderer-anthony-faucis-false-information-regarding-hydroxychloroquine/> (back to text)

- 
- <sup>65</sup> “Remdesivir for COVID-19” Study accidentally proved effectiveness of Hydroxychloroquine <https://wattsupwiththat.com/2020/10/26/remdesivir-for-covid-19-study-accidentally-proved-effectiveness-of-hydroxychloroquine/> (back to text)
- <sup>66</sup> Trudeau gov't wanted to downplay hydroxychloroquine purchase [https://www.rebelnews.com/trudeau\\_govt\\_wanted\\_to\\_downplay\\_hydroxychloroquine\\_purchase](https://www.rebelnews.com/trudeau_govt_wanted_to_downplay_hydroxychloroquine_purchase) (back to text)
- <sup>67</sup> Read: Chloroquine is a potent inhibitor of SARS coronavirus infection and spread <https://www.biomedcentral.com/epdf/10.1186/1743-422X-2-69> (back to text)
- <sup>68</sup> Hydroxychloroquine <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydroxychloroquine> (back to text)
- <sup>69</sup> Hydroxyvitamin D Concentrations Are Lower in Patients with Positive PCR for SARS-CoV-2 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32397511/> (back to text)
- <sup>70</sup> Simulated Sunlight Rapidly Inactivates SARS-CoV-2 on Surfaces <https://academic.oup.com/jid/article/222/2/214/5841129> (back to text)
- <sup>71</sup> LIST of Geoengineering Experiments and who funds them <http://www.thetruthdenied.com/news/2015/06/26/list-of-geoengineering-experiments-and-who-funds-them/> (back to text)
- <sup>72</sup> Event 201 Pandemic Exercise: Highlights Reel <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AoLw-Q8X174> (back to text)
- <sup>73</sup> The next outbreak? We're not ready | Bill Gates [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Af6b\\_wyiwl](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Af6b_wyiwl) (back to text)
- <sup>74</sup> The SPARS Pandemic 2025–2028: A Futuristic Scenario to Facilitate Medical Countermeasure Communication <https://stars.library.ucf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1029&context=jicrcr> (back to text)
- <sup>75</sup> A Deleted Bill Gates Documentary Has Been Rivived <https://ourtube.co.uk/watch/UlwpstyY9TbHFv> (back to text)
- <sup>76</sup> The Gates Institute Celebrates 20 Years of Scholarship & Science for Social Change <https://www.gatesinstitute.org/gates-institute-celebrates-20-years-scholarship-science-social-change> (back to text)
- <sup>77</sup> Bill Gates Says that 700,000 Could Suffer Side Effects From his Vaccine <https://bigleaguepolitics.com/bill-gates-says-that-700000-could-suffer-side-effects-from-his-vaccine/> (back to text)
- <sup>78</sup> Bill Gates & World Economic Forum Ran Coronavirus Outbreak Simulation Just 6 Weeks Before The Real Outbreak <https://humansarefree.com/2020/01/bill-gates-world-economic-forum-ran-coronavirus-outbreak-simulation-just-6-weeks-before-the-real-outbreak.html> (back to text)
- <sup>79</sup> Now Comes the Davos Global Economy “Great Reset”. What Happens After the Covid-19 Pandemic? <https://www.globalresearch.ca/davos-great-reset/5715515> (back to text)
- <sup>80</sup> The 2020-21 Worldwide Corona Crisis: Destroying Civil Society, Engineered Economic Depression, Global Coup d'État and the “Great Reset” <https://www.globalresearch.ca/the-2020-worldwide-corona-crisis-destroying-civil-society-engineered-economic-depression-global-coup-detat-and-the-great-reset/5730652> (back to text)
- <sup>81</sup> Husband of Toronto's ‘Top Doctor’ arrested for fraud <https://www.conservativebeaver.com/2021/03/14/husband-of-torontos-top-doctor-arrested-for-fraud/> (back to text)
- <sup>82</sup> Assembly of First Nations <https://www.afn.ca/> (back to text)
- <sup>83</sup> Mark 12:31 <https://biblehub.com/mark/12-31.htm> (back to text)